

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
for CDRU # 443 Case File # 95-283335.

Material Examiner Malone (RO)

Remarks:

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: STEVE ROBERTSON

Area(s) of Expertise: HAIR AND FIBERS

Review commenced at: 8:45A (Time), 5/20/99 (Date)

File #: 95-28335

Laboratory #(s): 80520014

80705066

Examiner(s) & Symbols

| | Reviewed | Not Reviewed | | Reviewed | Not Reviewed |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| <u>RQ</u> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <u>XE</u> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: MICHAEL MALONE

Testimony Date(s): 9-28-88 Pages: 80-98

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 80520014 Date: 7-20-88

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ AND UNKNOWN TECHNICIAN

Laboratory Number: 80520014

Laboratory Number: _____

Laboratory Number: _____

Page 1 of 4

Initials: SRM

Was any other material reviewed? Yes No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: LETTER FROM SUBMITTING AGENCY

DATED 5-12-88

Results of Review

File #: 95-283335

Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q1-Q15, K1-K4

Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
 Yes No Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?
 Yes No Unable to Determine

Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? Yes No Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? Yes No Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? Yes No Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 4

Initials SK

95-283335

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

#1. THERE IS INSUFFICIENT DOCUMENTATION TO DETERMINE THAT THE HAIR COMPARISON WAS PERFORMED IN A SCIENTIFICALLY ACCEPTABLE MANNER. CONFIRMATION OF THE HAIR MATCH BY ANOTHER EXAMINER IS DOCUMENTED.

#2. THE BENCH NOTES DO NOT ADEQUATELY DOCUMENT THE RESULTS IN THE LABORATORY REPORT. NONE OF THE NOTES, EXCEPT FOR THE CONFIRMATION, ARE DATED. NOTES DO NOT REFLECT ANY HAIR BEING RECOVERED FROM Q10, THE LENGTH OF THE Q10 HAIR OR THE NUMBER OF HAIR AVAILABLE AND EXAMINED IN THE K SAMPLES. THE EXAMINER USES ABBREVIATIONS TO DESCRIBE THE MICROSCOPIC CHARACTERISTICS HE OBSERVED AND THESE ARE DIFFICULT TO INTERPRET DURING REVIEW BY ANOTHER EXAMINER.

Review completed at: 9:30A (Time), 5/20/99 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 3/4 HOUR

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 4 pages.

Steve Roberts 5-20-99
(Signature) (Date)

Page 3 of 4

Initials SR

Additional Comments
(Set forth by question #, if applicable)

File #: 95-283335

#3,4,5. THE TRANSCRIPT IS MISSING 8 OF 18 PAGES. A
REVIEW OF THE TESTIMONY COULD NOT BE DONE FROM
A PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT.

Page 4 of 4

Initials: SJA